

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		<u> </u>		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/884,451	06/19/2001	Robert Dolan	101361-0043	1957
759	90 10/03/2003	EXAMINER		
NUTTER, MC	CLENNEN & FISH	MALDONADO, JULIO J		
Reza Mollaaghal	baba			
One International Place Boston, MA 02110-2699			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2823	

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	T-4 - 10 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 -			<b>—</b>		
	Application No.	Аррі	icant(s)	′		
	09/884,451	DOL	AN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art U	nit			
	Julio J. Maldonado	2823				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover si	neet with the corresp	ondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however y within the statutory minimu vill apply and will expire SIX , cause the application to be	, may a reply be timely filed m of thirty (30) days will be (6) MONTHS from the mail come ABANDONED (35 U	considered timely. ing date of this communication. S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 J	<u>luly 2003</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-fina	<b>l.</b>				
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under				S		
Disposition of Claims	a application					
4) Claim(s) 1,6-13 and 20-25 is/are pending in th		20				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	wii iroin considerad	JII.				
<ul> <li>5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-13</u> is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,6-9 and 20-25</u> is/are rejected.</li> </ul>						
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requireme	ent				
Application Papers	r ciconon requirem					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	)				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b) objected	to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held i	n abeyance/ See 37 (	CFR 1.85(a).			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved	b)□ disapproved b	y the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office action	1.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) o	r (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But     See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.	2(a)).	nis National Stage			
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti			a provisional application	on).		
a) The translation of the foreign language pro				ŕ		
Attachment(s)		30				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 N	terview Summary (PTO- ptice of Informal Patent A her:	413) Paper No(s) Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2823

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's cancellation of claims 2-5 and 14-19 is acknowledged. Claims 20-25 are newly added. Thus, claims 1, 6-13 and 20-25 are pending in this application.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 6-9 and 20-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sakaguchi et al. (U.S. 6,313,014) in view of Ogura et al. (U.S. 6,506,662 B2).

In reference to claims 1 and 20-25, Sakaguchi et al. (Fig.1-5) in a related method to form buried oxide films teach placing the substrate (23) into a vacuum chamber, the substrate being treated with a background fluid other than molecular oxygen, said fluid comprising hydrogen and said hydrogen is a surface inhibiting agent; evacuating the vacuum chamber to a first pressure; and implanting ions into the substrate (23) to form a buried oxide layer under a top silicon layer (22), where the fluid inhibits formations of threading dislocations in the top silicon layer (22) for reducing a defect density of the processed substrate; and selecting the fluid from fluids that inhibit formations of threading dislocations in the top silicon layer (22) for reducing a defect density of the processed substrate (23) (column 2, line 53 – column 16, line 25).

Art Unit: 2823

Sakaguchi et al. fail to teach introducing a fluid other than molecular oxygen in a vacuum chamber as a background fluid. However, Ogura et al. (Figs.1C-3) in a related method to form a buried oxide layer by ion irradiation teach introducing a fluid other than molecular oxygen as a background fluid in a vacuum chamber; and implanting oxygen atoms into a substrate (column 3,lines 60 – 65, column 4, lines 1 – 4, column 8, line 61 – column 9, line 2 and column 9, lines 54 – 62). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include a fluid other than pure molecular oxygen as taught by Ogura et al. in the buried oxide formation method, and furthermore since this would increase the manufacturing condition for the formation of said buried oxide (column 8, line 61 – column 9, line 2).

In reference to claims 6 and 7, Sakaguchi et al. in combination with Ogura et al. substantially teach all aspects of the invention but fail to teach the first pressure is less than about 1x10<sup>-5</sup> Torr and a second pressure less than about 1x10<sup>-3</sup>. However, the examiner takes official notice that the selection of the claimed ranges is obvious because it is a matter of determining optimum process condition by routine experimentation with a limited number of species.

In reference to claims 8 and 9, Sakaguchi et al. in combination with Ogura et al. teach controlling the amount of fluid introduced into the vacuum chamber based upon a parameter measured in the chamber, said parameter consisting from the group consisting of ion concentration and temperature (column 2, line 53 – column 16, line 25).

Art Unit: 2823

## Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 10-13 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Sakaguchi et al. (Fig.1-5) in a related method to form buried oxide films teach placing the substrate (23) into a vacuum chamber, the substrate being treated with a fluid other than molecular oxygen, said fluid comprising hydrogen and said hydrogen is a surface inhibiting agent; evacuating the vacuum chamber to a first pressure; and implanting ions into the substrate (23) to form a buried oxide layer under a top silicon layer (22), where the fluid inhibits formations of threading dislocations in the top silicon layer (22) for reducing a defect density of the processed substrate; and selecting the fluid from fluids that inhibit formations of threading dislocations in the top silicon layer (22) for reducing a defect density of the processed substrate (23) (column 2, line 53 – column 16, line 25). However, Sakaguchi et al. neither teach nor suggest measuring a decrease in the ion beam current level due to the fluid in the chamber; and adjusting the fluid level based upon the measured ion beam current level.

5. Applicant's arguments filed 07/11/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue, "... Ogura fails to teach or suggest utilizing a background gas while implanting ions in a substrate... Ogura relates to dissociation of molecules in the plasma chamber and not introduction of background gas in the reaction chamber...". In response to this argument, Ogura et al. teach that species other than molecular oxygen are present during the oxygen ion implantation, and these species are eliminated during

Art Unit: 2823

-,

a further thermal process (Ogura et al., column 8, line 50 – column 9, line 2).

Furthermore, none of the claims recite, "utilizing a background gas while implanting ions in a substrate" as argued.

#### Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Papers related to this application may be submitted directly to Art Unit 2823 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Art Unit 2823 via the Art Unit 2823 Fax Center located in Crystal Plaza 4, room 3C23. The faxing of such papers must conform to the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (15 November 1989). The Art Unit 2823 Fax Center number is (703) 305-3432. The Art Unit 2823 Fax Center is to be used only for papers related to Art Unit 2823 applications.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Julio J. Maldonado** at **(703) 306-0098** and between the

Art Unit: 2823

Page 6

hours of 8:00 AM to 4:00 PM (Eastern Standard Time) Monday through Friday or by e-mail via <a href="mailto:julio.maldonado@uspto.gov">julio.maldonado@uspto.gov</a>. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri, can be reached on (703) 306-2794.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the **Group 2800 Receptionist** at **(703) 308-0956**.

JMR

9/22/03

George Fourson Primary Examiner